## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

ALEX GOLDOVSKY, et al Plaintiff,

v.

MAURICIO J. RAULD, et al. Defendant.

**CASE NO. 6:24-CV-159-ADA-DTG** 

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Derek Gilliland (ECF No. 99). The report recommends that Defendants Maurico Rauld and Premier Law Group's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (ECF No. 43) be **DENIED**. The report was filed on March 27, 2025.

A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, thereby securing *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). As of today, neither party has filed objections.

When no objections are timely filed, a district court reviews the magistrate judge's report and recommendation for clear error. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 Advisory Committee's Note ("When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."). The Court has reviewed the report and recommendation and finds no clear error.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Gilliland (ECF No. 99) is ADOPTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendants' Mauricio Rauld and Premier Law Group's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 43) is **DENIED**.

**SIGNED** this 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025.

ALAN D ALBRIGHT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE